

## Seven Languages in Seven Weeks Correl Roush August 12, 2015







## INTRODUCTION D

### Created 1990

Author A committee of researchers and application programmers, including John Hughes, Simon Peyton Jones, and Philip Wadler.





# https://www.haskell.org/http://learnyouahaskell.com/



Haskell is a functional programming language. Its first distinguishing characteristic is that it is a pure functional language. A function with the same arguments will always produce the same result.

Expressions

- Types
- Functions
- Tuples and Lists
- Function Composition
- List Comprehensions

# EXPRESSIONS AND PRIMITIVE TYPES 🗩

### Numbers

## Strings

"hello" ++ " world" -- "hello world" 'a' -- 'a' ['a', 'b'] -- "ab"

## Booleans

```
(4 + 5) == 9 -- True
(5 + 5) /= 10 -- False
if (5 == 5) then "true" else "false" -- "true"
```



## if 1 then "true" else "false" <interactive>·2·4· No instance for (Num Bool) arising from the literal '1' In the expression: 1 In the expression: if 1 then "true" else "false" In an equation for 'it': it = if 1 then "true" else "false" <interactive>:4:7: No instance for (Num [Char]) arising from a use of '+' In the expression: "one" + 1 In an equation for 'it': it = "one" + 1



### Simple

let double x = x + x
double 2

4

:t double

double :: Num a => a -> a

### Recursive



### Code

module Main where

```
fibTuple :: (Integer, Integer, Integer) -> (Integer, Integer, Integer)
fibTuple (x, y, 0) = (x, y, 0)
fibTuple (x, y, index) = fibTuple (y, x + y, index - 1)
```

```
fibResult :: (Integer, Integer, Integer) -> Integer
fibResult (x, y, z) = x
```

```
fib :: Integer -> Integer
fib x = fibResult (fibTuple (0, 1, x))
```

### Results

```
:l fib_tuple
fib 100
```

```
354224848179261915075
```

# TUPLES AND COMPOSITION

#### module Main where

```
fibNextPair :: (Integer, Integer) -> (Integer, Integer)
fibNextPair (x, y) = (y, x + y)
```

```
fibNthPair :: Integer -> (Integer, Integer)
fibNthPair 1 = (1, 1)
fibNthPair n = fibNextPair (fibNthPair (n - 1))
```

```
fib :: Integer -> Integer
fib = fst . fibNthPair
```

## Pattern Matching

let (h:t) = [1, 2, 3 4]
-- h = 1
-- t = [2,3,4]

### Recursive Traversal

size [] = 0
size (h:t) = 1 + size t

prod [] = 1
prod (h:t) = h \* prod t

### Zip

```
zip ["kirk", "spock"] ["enterprise", "reliant"]
-- [("kirk", "enterprise"), ("spock", "reliant")]
```



### Recursion

```
allEven :: [Integer] -> [Integer]
allEven [] = []
allEven (h:t) = if even h then h:allEven t else allEven t
```

## Ranges and Composition

```
 \begin{bmatrix} 1 \dots 4 \end{bmatrix} & \stackrel{-}{-} \begin{bmatrix} 1, 2, 3, 4 \end{bmatrix} & \text{take 5 } \begin{bmatrix} 1 \dots 1 \end{bmatrix} & \stackrel{-}{-} \begin{bmatrix} 1, 2, 3, 4, 5 \end{bmatrix} \\ \begin{bmatrix} 10 \dots 4 \end{bmatrix} & \stackrel{-}{-} \begin{bmatrix} 1 \end{bmatrix} \\ \begin{bmatrix} 10, 8 \dots 4 \end{bmatrix} & \stackrel{-}{-} \begin{bmatrix} 10, 8, 6, 4 \end{bmatrix} & \text{take 5 } \begin{bmatrix} 0, 2 \dots \end{bmatrix} & \stackrel{-}{-} \begin{bmatrix} 0, 2, 4, 6, 8 \end{bmatrix} \\ \hline \text{List Comprehensions} \\ \begin{bmatrix} x & 2 & | & x & - \end{bmatrix} \\ \begin{bmatrix} (4 - x, y) & | & (x, y) & - \end{bmatrix} & \stackrel{-}{-} \begin{bmatrix} 2, 4, 6 \end{bmatrix} \\ \begin{bmatrix} (4 - x, y) & | & (x, y) & - \end{bmatrix} & \stackrel{-}{-} \begin{bmatrix} 2, 4, 6 \end{bmatrix} \\ \begin{bmatrix} (4 - x, y) & | & (x, y) & - \end{bmatrix} & \stackrel{-}{-} \begin{bmatrix} 2, 4, 6 \end{bmatrix} \\ \begin{bmatrix} (4 - x, y) & | & (x, y) & - \end{bmatrix} & \stackrel{-}{-} \begin{bmatrix} 2, 4, 6 \end{bmatrix} \\ \begin{bmatrix} (4 - x, y) & | & (x, y) & - \end{bmatrix} & \stackrel{-}{-} \begin{bmatrix} 2, 4, 6 \end{bmatrix} \\ \begin{bmatrix} (4 - x, y) & | & (x, y) & - \end{bmatrix} & \stackrel{-}{-} \begin{bmatrix} 2, 4, 6 \end{bmatrix} \\ \begin{bmatrix} (4 - x, y) & | & (x, y) & - \end{bmatrix} & \stackrel{-}{-} \begin{bmatrix} 2, 4, 6 \end{bmatrix} \\ \begin{bmatrix} (4 - x, y) & | & (x, y) & - \end{bmatrix} & \stackrel{-}{-} \begin{bmatrix} 2, 4, 6 \end{bmatrix} \\ \begin{bmatrix} (4 - x, y) & | & (x, y) & - \end{bmatrix} & \stackrel{-}{-} \begin{bmatrix} 2, 4, 6 \end{bmatrix} \\ \begin{bmatrix} (4 - x, y) & | & (x, y) & - \end{bmatrix} & \stackrel{-}{-} \begin{bmatrix} 2, 4, 6 \end{bmatrix} \\ \begin{bmatrix} (4 - x, y) & | & (x, y) & - \end{bmatrix} & \stackrel{-}{-} \begin{bmatrix} 2, 4, 6 \end{bmatrix} \\ \begin{bmatrix} (4 - x, y) & | & (x, y) & - \end{bmatrix} & \stackrel{-}{-} \begin{bmatrix} 2, 4, 6 \end{bmatrix} \\ \begin{bmatrix} (4 - x, y) & | & (x, y) & - \end{bmatrix} & \stackrel{-}{-} \begin{bmatrix} 2, 4, 6 \end{bmatrix} \\ \begin{bmatrix} (4 - x, y) & | & (x, y) & - \end{bmatrix} & \stackrel{-}{-} \begin{bmatrix} 2, 4, 6 \end{bmatrix} \\ \begin{bmatrix} (4 - x, y) & | & (x, y) & - \end{bmatrix} & \stackrel{-}{-} \begin{bmatrix} 2, 4, 6 \end{bmatrix} \\ \begin{bmatrix} (4 - x, y) & | & (x, y) & - \end{bmatrix} & \stackrel{-}{-} \begin{bmatrix} 2, 4, 6 \end{bmatrix} \\ \begin{bmatrix} (4 - x, y) & | & (x, y) & - \end{bmatrix} & \stackrel{-}{-} \begin{bmatrix} 2, 4, 6 \end{bmatrix} \\ \begin{bmatrix} (4 - x, y) & | & (x, y) & - \end{bmatrix} & \stackrel{-}{-} \begin{bmatrix} 2, 4, 6 \end{bmatrix} \\ \begin{bmatrix} (4 - x, y) & | & (x, y) & - \end{bmatrix} & \stackrel{-}{-} \begin{bmatrix} 2, 4, 6 \end{bmatrix} \\ \begin{bmatrix} (4 - x, y) & | & (x, y) & - \end{bmatrix} & \stackrel{-}{-} \begin{bmatrix} 2, 4, 6 \end{bmatrix} \\ \begin{bmatrix} (4 - x, y) & | & (x, y) & - \end{bmatrix} & \stackrel{-}{-} \begin{bmatrix} 2, 4, 6 \end{bmatrix} \\ \begin{bmatrix} (4 - x, y) & | & (x, y) & - \end{bmatrix} & \stackrel{-}{-} \begin{bmatrix} 2, 4, 6 \end{bmatrix} \\ \begin{bmatrix} (4 - x, y) & | & (x, y) & - \end{bmatrix} & \stackrel{-}{-} \begin{bmatrix} 2, 4, 6 \end{bmatrix} \\ \begin{bmatrix} (4 - x, y) & | & (x, y) & - \end{bmatrix} & \stackrel{-}{-} \begin{bmatrix} 2, 4, 6 \\ (x, y) & | & (x, y) & - \end{bmatrix} \\ \begin{bmatrix} (4 - x, y) & | & (x, y) & - \end{bmatrix} & \stackrel{-}{-} \begin{bmatrix} 2, 4, 6 \\ (x, y) & | & (x, y) & - \end{bmatrix} \\ \begin{bmatrix} (4 - x, y) & | & (x, y) & - \end{bmatrix} & \stackrel{-}{-} \begin{bmatrix} 2, 4, 6 \\ (x, y) & | & (x, y) & - \end{bmatrix} \\ \end{bmatrix} & \begin{bmatrix} (x, y) & | & (x, y) & - \end{bmatrix} \\ \begin{bmatrix} (x, y) & | & (x, y) & - \end{bmatrix} & \begin{bmatrix} (x, y) & | & (x, y) & - \end{bmatrix} \\ \end{bmatrix} & \begin{bmatrix} (x, y) & | & (x, y) & - \end{bmatrix} \\ \end{bmatrix} & \begin{bmatrix} (x, y) & | & (x, y) & - \end{bmatrix} \\ \end{bmatrix} & \begin{bmatrix} (x, y) & | & (x, y) & - \end{bmatrix} \\ \end{bmatrix} & \begin{bmatrix} (x, y) & | & (x, y) & - \end{bmatrix} \\ \end{bmatrix} & \begin{bmatrix} (x, y) & |
```

The original goals were not modest: we wanted the language to be a foundation for research, suitable for teaching, and up to industrial uses.

Haskell's great strength is also that predictability and simplicity of logic. Many universities teach Haskell in the context of reasoning about programs. Haskell makes creating proofs for correctness far easier than imperative counterparts.

- Higher Order Functions
- Partial Application and Currying
- Lazy Evaluation

## Anonymous Functions

```
(\x -> x ++ " captain.") "Logical, '
-- "Logical, captain."
```

### map and where

```
squareAll list = map square list
  where square x = x * x
```

```
squareAll [1, 2, 3] -- [1,4,9]
map (+ 1) [1, 2, 3] -- [2,3,4]
```

### filter, foldl, foldr

```
filter odd [1, 2, 3, 4, 5] -- [1,3,5]
foldl (\x carryOver -> carryOver + x) 0 [1 .. 10] -- 55
foldl (+) 0 [1 .. 3] -- 6
```

```
let prod x y = x * y
:t prod
prod :: Num a => a -> a -> a
let double = prod 2
let triple = prod 3
double 3 -- 6
triple 4 -- 12
```

So, the mystery is solved. When Haskell computes prod 2 4, it is really computing (prod 2) 4, like this:

• First, apply prod 2. That returns the function ( $y \rightarrow 2 * y$ ).

• Next, apply ( $y \rightarrow 2 * y$ ) 4, or 2 \* 4, giving you 8.

## LAZY EVALUATION

```
let lazyFib x y = x:(lazyFib y (x + y))
let fib = lazyFib 1 1
let fibNth x = head (drop (x - 1) (take (x) fib))
```

```
take 5 (fib) -- [1,1,2,3,5]
take 5 (drop 20 (lazyFib 0 1)) -- [6765,10946,17711,28657,46368]
```

```
take 5 (map ((* 2) . (* 5)) fib) -- [10,10,20,30,50]
```

## Composition

```
In Haskell, f . g x is shorthand for f(g x).
```

Apart from purity, probably the most unusual and interesting feature of Haskell is its type system. Static types are by far the most widely used program verification technique available today: millions of programmers write types (which are just partial specifications) every day, and compilers check them every time they compile the program. Types are the UML of functional programming: a design language that forms an intimate and permanent part of the program.



# Classes and TypesMonads



### 'c' :: Char "abc" :: [Char] ['a', 'b', 'c'] :: [Char] True :: Bool False :: Bool

```
USER-DEFINED TYPES 🗩
```

```
data Suit = Spades | Hearts
         deriving Show
data Rank = Ten | Jack | Queen | King | Ace
         deriving Show
type Card = (Rank, Suit)
type Hand = [Card]
value :: Rank -> Integer
value Ten = 1
value Jack = 2
value Queen = 3
value King = 4
value Ace = 5
cardValue (rank, suit) = value rank
```

# FUNCTIONS AND POLYMORPHISM 🗩

## Generic Functions

```
backwards [] = []
backwards (h:t) = backwards t ++ [h]
```

## Could be typed as

backwards :: Hand -> Hand

### or

```
backwards :: [a] -> [a]
```

Polymorphic Data Types

data Triplet a = Trio a a a deriving (Show)

Could be used as:

Trio 'a' 'b' 'c' :: Triplet Char

### Defining a tree data type

## Constructing and deconstructing a tree of integers

```
let tree = Children [Leaf 1, Children [Leaf 2, Leaf 3]] :: Tree Integer
let (Children ch) = tree
-- ch = [Leaf 1, Children [Leaf 2, Leaf 3]]
let (fst:tail) = ch
-- fst = Leaf 1
```

### Calculating the depth of a tree

```
depth (Leaf _) = 1
depth (Children c) = 1 + maximum (map depth c)
```

### Seven Languages in Seve<u>n Weeks I</u>



- It's not an object-oriented class, because there's no data involved.
- A class defines which operations can work on which inputs.
- A class provides some function signatures. A type is an instance of a class if it supports all those functions.

```
class Eq a where
  (==), (/=) :: a -> a -> Bool
  -- Minimal complete definition:
  -- (==) or (/=)
  x /= y = not (x == y)
  x == y = not (x /= y)
```

# I CLASS INHERITANCE 🗩





```
def treasure_map(v)
  v = stagger(v)
  v = stagger(v)
  v = crawl(v)
  return(v)
end
```

We have several functions that we call within treasure\_map that sequentially transform our state, the distance traveled. The problem is that we have mutable state.

# THE PROBLEM: DRUNKEN PIRATE 🗩

#### module Main where

The inputs and outputs are the same, so it should be easier to compose these kinds of functions. We would like to translate stagger(crawl(x)) into  $stagger(x) \cdot crawl(x)$ , where  $\cdot$  is function composition. That's a monad.

At its basic level, a monad has three basic things:

- A type constructor that's based on some type of container. The container could be a simple variable, a list, or anything that can hold a value. We will use the container to hold a function. The container you choose will vary based on what you want your monad to do.
- A function called return that wraps up a function and puts it in the container. The name will make sense later, when we move into do notation. Just remember that return wraps up a function into a monad.
- A bind function called >>= that unwraps a function. We'll use bind to chain functions together.

## I MONADIC LAWS 🗩

All monads will need to satisfy three rules. I'll mention them briefly here. For some monad m, some function f, and some value x:

- You should be able to use a type constructor to create a monad that will work with some type that can hold a value.
- You should be able to unwrap and wrap values without loss of information. (monad >> return = monad=)
- Nesting bind functions should be the same as calling them sequentially. ((m >> f) »= g = m »= (-> f x »=

# BUILDING A MONAD FROM SCRATCH 🗩

```
module Main where
    data Position t = Position t deriving (Show)
    stagger (Position d) = Position (d + 2)
    crawl (Position d) = Position (d + 1)
   rtn x = x
    x >>== f = f x
    treasureMap pos = pos >>==
                      stagger >>==
                      stagger >>==
                      crawl >>==
```

# MONADS AND DO NOTATION 🗩



```
instance Monad [] where
  m >>= f = concatMap f m
  return x = [x]
let cartesian (xs,ys) = do x <- xs; y <- ys; return (x,y)
cartesian ([1..2], [3..4])
-- [(1,3),(1,4),(2,3),(2,4)]
```

## Example (Password Cracker)

```
module Main where
crack = do x <- ['a'..'c'] ; y <- ['a'..'c'] ; z <- ['a'..'c'] ;
let { password = [x, y, z] } ;
if attempt password
then return (password, True)
else return (password, False)
```

attempt pw = if pw == "cab" then True else False



In this section, we'll look at the Maybe monad. We'll use this one to handle a common programming problem: some functions might fail.

data Maybe a = Nothing | Just a

```
instance Monad Maybe where
  return = Just
  Nothing >>= f = Nothing
  (Just x) >>= f = f x
```

# USING THE MAYBE MONAD 🗩

### Without a monad

```
case (html doc) of
Nothing -> Nothing
Just x -> case body x of
Nothing -> Nothing
Just y -> paragraph 2 y
```

### With the Maybe monad

Just someWebpage >>= html >>= body >>= paragraph >>= return

Type System
Expressiveness
Purity of Programming Model
Lazy Semantics

Academic Support

• Inflexibility of Programming Model

Community

Learning Curve

# I FINAL THOUGHTS 🗖

Of the functional languages in the book, Haskell was the most difficult to learn. The emphasis on monads and the type system made the learning curve steep. Once I mastered some of the key concepts, things got easier, and it became the most rewarding language I learned. Based on the type system and the elegance of the application of monads, one day we'll look back at this language as one of the most important in this book.

Haskell plays another role, too. The purity of the approach and the academic focus will both improve our understanding of programming. The best of the next generation of functional programmers in many places will cut their teeth on Haskell.